

# Notes on Detailed Balance

Xuda Ye, 1600010616

May 27, 2020

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Brownian dynamics</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1	Fokker-Planck equation	1
1.2	Detailed balance	2
1.2.1	Formal definition	2
1.2.2	Derivation from the definition	2
1.3	Examples	3
<b>2</b>	<b>Langevin dynamics</b>	<b>4</b>
2.1	Theorem of detailed balance	4
2.2	Applications in thermal equilibrium	7
<b>3</b>	<b>Potential splitting method</b>	<b>8</b>
3.1	Methodology	8
3.2	Application in pmmLang	10

## 1 Brownian dynamics

Consider the simple Brownian dynamics:

$$dX = b(X)dt + \sigma(X)dW$$

where  $\sigma \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times m}$  and  $W$  is the  $m$ -dimensional Wiener process.

### 1.1 Fokker-Planck equation

Define  $a = \sigma\sigma^T \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$ , then the generator of the SDE is

$$\mathcal{L}\varphi = b \cdot \nabla \varphi + \frac{1}{2}a : \nabla^2 \varphi$$

and the forward Kolmogorov operator is

$$\mathcal{L}^* \varphi = -\nabla \cdot (b\varphi) + \frac{1}{2} \nabla^2 : (a\varphi)$$

which leads to the Fokker-Planck equation

$$\frac{\partial p}{\partial t} = \mathcal{L}^* p(x, t), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad t \geq 0$$

The invariant distribution  $\pi(x)$  of the SDE is defined as

$$\mathcal{L}^* \pi(x) = 0$$

## 1.2 Detailed balance

### 1.2.1 Formal definition

The detailed balance can be defined in several ways.

**Definition 1.1** Suppose the Fokker-Planck equation can be written as

$$\frac{\partial p}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot j(p) = 0$$

where  $j(p) \in \mathbb{R}^d$  is a functional of  $p$ . The detailed balance is given by the relation

$$j(\pi) \equiv 0$$

where  $\pi(x)$  is the invariant distribution.

For the Brownian dynamics, the flux is given by

$$j(p) = bp - \frac{1}{2} \nabla \cdot (ap)$$

so the condition for detailed balance becomes

$$b(x)\pi(x) = \frac{1}{2} \nabla \cdot (a(x)\pi(x)), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d \quad (*)$$

### 1.2.2 Derivation from the definition

We can also derive the relation  $(*)$  from the definition of detailed balance.

Let  $T_t(x, y)$  be the transition probability density of the SDE from  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$  to  $y \in \mathbb{R}^d$  in time  $t$ . Using the Fokker-Planck equation,  $T_t(x, y)$  can be represented as

$$T_t(x, y) = e^{t\mathcal{L}^*} \delta(y - x)$$

The detailed balance of the SDE is

$$\pi(x)T_t(x, y) = \pi(y)T_t(y, x) \quad (1)$$

or

$$\pi(x)\mathcal{L}_y^*\delta(y - x) = \pi(y)\mathcal{L}_x^*\delta(x - y) \quad (2)$$

where the subscript  $x$  means that the operator acts on a function of  $x$ .

To simply (2), pick a test function  $\varphi \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$  and integrate (2) with  $\varphi(y)$ :

$$\pi(x) \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varphi(y) \mathcal{L}_y^*\delta(y - x) dy = \mathcal{L}_x^* \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \pi(y) \varphi(y) \delta(x - y) dy \right)$$

or

$$\pi(x) \langle \varphi(y), \mathcal{L}_y^*\delta(y - x) \rangle = \mathcal{L}_x^*(\varphi(x)\pi(x))$$

or

$$\pi(x) \langle \mathcal{L}_y \varphi(y), \delta(y - x) \rangle = \mathcal{L}_x^*(\varphi(x)\pi(x))$$

or

$$\pi(x) \mathcal{L} \varphi(x) = \mathcal{L}^*(\varphi(x)\pi(x)) \quad (3)$$

Now using the formulation of  $\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L}^*$ , (3) becomes

$$\pi b \cdot \nabla \varphi + \frac{1}{2} \pi a : \nabla^2 \varphi = -\nabla \cdot (b\varphi\pi) + \frac{1}{2} \nabla^2 : (a\varphi\pi)$$

or

$$2\pi b \cdot \nabla \varphi = -\varphi \nabla \cdot (\pi b) + \frac{1}{2} \varphi \nabla^2 : (\pi a) + \nabla \varphi \cdot (\nabla \cdot (\pi a)) \quad (4)$$

Since  $\pi(x)$  is the invariant distribution,  $\mathcal{L}^*\pi(x) = 0$ , i.e.,

$$-\nabla \cdot (\pi b) + \frac{1}{2} \nabla^2 : (\pi a) = 0$$

hence (4) becomes

$$2\pi b = \nabla \cdot (\pi a)$$

This is just (\*).

### 1.3 Examples

In some cases the detailed balance is automatically satisfied. If  $b(x) = -\nabla V(x)$  and  $\sigma(x) = \sqrt{2}$ , then the invariant distribution is

$$\pi(x) = e^{-V(x)}$$

In this case the detailed balance becomes

$$-\nabla V(x) e^{-V(x)} = \nabla(e^{-V(x)})$$

## 2 Langevin dynamics

### 2.1 Theorem of detailed balance

Consider the second order Langevin dynamics:

$$\begin{aligned} dq &= v dt \\ dv &= f(q)dt - \gamma v dt + \sigma dW \end{aligned}$$

where  $q, v \in \mathbb{R}^d$  are the position and velocity of the particle,  $f(q)$  is the force,  $\gamma > 0$  is the friction constant,  $\sigma \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times m}$  is a constant matrix and  $W$  is the  $m$ -dimensional Wiener process. The detailed balance can also be established for Langevin dynamics.

**Theorem 2.1** *Consider the general Langevin dynamics*

$$\begin{aligned} dq &= v dt \\ dv &= f(q)dt - \gamma v dt + \sigma dW \end{aligned}$$

for  $q, v \in \mathbb{R}^d$ . Assume  $\pi(q, v)$  is the invariant distribution with symmetry in  $v$

$$\pi(q, v) = \pi(q, -v)$$

Let  $T_t((q, v), (q', v'))$  be the transition probability in time  $t$ , then the detailed balance

$$\pi(q, v)T_t((q, v), (q', v')) = \pi(q', -v')T_t((q', -v'), (q, -v))$$

holds if and only if

$$-2\gamma\pi v = \sigma\sigma^T \frac{\partial\pi}{\partial v}$$

**Note:** The detailed balance presented in the Langevin dynamics is closely related to the reversibility in the Hamiltonian dynamics.

**Proof:** To make the notations more compact, introduce the phase  $x = (q, v) \in \mathbb{R}^{2d}$  and its conjugate  $x^* = (q, -v)$ . Then the symmetry of  $\pi$  becomes

$$\pi(x) = \pi(x^*)$$

We can compactly write the SDE as

$$dx = b(x)dt + \Sigma dW \tag{1}$$

where

$$b(x) = \begin{bmatrix} v \\ f(q) - \gamma v \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{2d}, \quad \Sigma = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \sigma \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{2d \times m}$$

Define the diffusion matrix

$$A = \Sigma \Sigma^T = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma \sigma^T \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{2d \times 2d}$$

then the Kolmogorov operators  $\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L}^*$  of SDE (1) are

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}\varphi(x) &= b(x) \cdot \nabla \varphi(x) + \frac{1}{2} A : \nabla^2 \varphi(x) \\ \mathcal{L}^*\varphi(x) &= -\nabla \cdot (b(x)\varphi(x)) + \frac{1}{2} \nabla^2 : (A\varphi(x)) \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

and the Fokker-Planck equation of SDE (1) is

$$\frac{\partial p}{\partial t} = \mathcal{L}^* p(x, t) \quad (3)$$

Now we consider the detailed balance

$$\pi(x)T_t(x, y) = \pi(y^*)T_t(y^*, x^*) \quad (4)$$

Observing that  $T_t(x, y)$  can be represented as

$$T_t(x, y) = \exp \left( t \mathcal{L}_y^* \delta(y - x) \right)$$

we can equivalently write the detailed balance (4) as

$$\pi(x) \mathcal{L}_y^* \delta(y - x) = \pi(y^*) \mathcal{L}_{x^*}^* \delta(x^* - y^*) \quad (5)$$

Multiply (5) with a test function  $\varphi(y) \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$  and integrate in  $\mathbb{R}^{2d}$ :

$$\pi(x) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \varphi(y) \mathcal{L}_y^* \delta(y - x) dy = \mathcal{L}_{x^*}^* \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \varphi(y) \pi(y^*) \delta(x^* - y^*) dy \right)$$

which immediately reduces to

$$\pi(x) \mathcal{L}_x \varphi(x) = \mathcal{L}_{x^*}^* (\varphi(x) \pi(x^*)) \quad (6)$$

Substituting the formulation of  $\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L}^*$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(x) \mathcal{L}_x \varphi(x) &= \pi(x) \left( b(x) \cdot \nabla \varphi(x) + \frac{1}{2} A : \nabla^2 \varphi(x) \right) \\ &= \pi(x) b(x) \cdot \nabla \varphi(x) + \frac{1}{2} \pi(x) A : \nabla^2 \varphi(x) \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

Let  $\nabla_*$  be the gradient with respect to  $x^*$ , then

$$\mathcal{L}_{x^*}^* (\varphi(x) \pi(x^*)) = -\nabla_* \cdot (\pi(x^*) b(x^*) \varphi(x)) + \frac{1}{2} \nabla_*^2 : (\pi(x^*) A \varphi(x))$$

Using the equations

$$\begin{aligned} -\nabla_* \cdot (\pi(x^*) b(x^*) \varphi(x)) &= -\pi(x^*) b(x^*) \cdot \nabla_* \varphi(x) - \nabla_* \cdot (\pi(x^*) b(x^*)) \varphi(x) \\ \frac{1}{2} \nabla_*^2 : (\pi(x^*) A \varphi(x)) &= \frac{1}{2} \nabla_*^2 : (\pi(x^*) A) \varphi(x) + \frac{1}{2} \pi(x^*) A : \nabla_*^2 \varphi(x) + \nabla_* \cdot (A \pi(x^*)) \cdot \nabla_* \varphi(x) \end{aligned}$$

We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{x^*}^* (\varphi(x) \pi(x^*)) &= \left( -\nabla_* \cdot (\pi(x^*) b(x^*)) + \frac{1}{2} \nabla_*^2 : (\pi(x^*) A) \right) \varphi(x) \\ &\quad + \left( -\pi(x^*) b(x^*) + \nabla_* \cdot (A \pi(x^*)) \right) \cdot \nabla_* \varphi(x) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \pi(x^*) A : \nabla_*^2 \varphi(x) \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

Terms in (8) correspond to different order of gradients of  $\varphi(x)$ . Note that  $\pi(x)$  is the invariant distribution of (1), we have

$$\mathcal{L}_{x^*}^* \pi(x^*) = 0 \implies -\nabla_* \cdot (\pi(x^*) b(x^*)) + \frac{1}{2} \nabla_*^2 : (\pi(x^*) A) = 0$$

hence (8) reduces to

$$\mathcal{L}_{x^*}^* (\varphi(x) \pi(x^*)) = \left( -\pi(x^*) b(x^*) + \nabla_* \cdot (A \pi(x^*)) \right) \cdot \nabla_* \varphi(x) + \frac{1}{2} \pi(x^*) A : \nabla_*^2 \varphi(x) \quad (9)$$

Combine (6)(7)(9), the detailed balance becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(x) b(x) \cdot \nabla \varphi(x) + \frac{1}{2} \pi(x) A : \nabla^2 \varphi(x) &= \\ \left( -\pi(x^*) b(x^*) + \nabla_* \cdot (A \pi(x^*)) \right) \cdot \nabla_* \varphi(x) + \frac{1}{2} \pi(x^*) A : \nabla_*^2 \varphi(x) & \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

Note that in (10) we have  $\pi(x) = \pi(x^*)$  and

$$A : \nabla^2 \varphi = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma \sigma^T \end{bmatrix} : \begin{bmatrix} \varphi_{qq} & \varphi_{qv} \\ \varphi_{vq} & \varphi_{vv} \end{bmatrix} = \sigma \sigma^T : \varphi_{vv} = \begin{bmatrix} \varphi_{qq} & -\varphi_{qv} \\ -\varphi_{vq} & \varphi_{vv} \end{bmatrix} = A : \nabla_*^2 \varphi(x)$$

hence

$$\frac{1}{2} \pi(x) A : \nabla^2 \varphi(x) = \frac{1}{2} \pi(x^*) A : \nabla_*^2 \varphi(x)$$

Using  $\pi(x) = \pi(x^*)$  again, (10) reduces to

$$\pi(x) \left( b(x) \cdot \nabla \varphi(x) + b(x^*) \cdot \nabla_* \varphi(x) \right) = \nabla_* \cdot (A \pi(x^*)) \cdot \nabla_* \varphi(x) \quad (11)$$

On the one hand,

$$b(x) = \begin{bmatrix} v \\ f(q) - \gamma v \end{bmatrix}, \nabla \varphi(x) = \begin{bmatrix} \varphi_q \\ \varphi_v \end{bmatrix} \implies b(x) \cdot \nabla \varphi(x) = v \cdot \varphi_q + f(q) \cdot \varphi_v - \gamma v \cdot \varphi_v$$

$$b(x^*) = \begin{bmatrix} -v \\ f(q) + \gamma v \end{bmatrix}, \nabla_* \varphi(x) = \begin{bmatrix} \varphi_q \\ -\varphi_v \end{bmatrix} \implies b(x^*) \cdot \nabla_* \varphi(x) = -v \cdot \varphi_q - f(q) \cdot \varphi_v - \gamma v \cdot \varphi_v$$

Hence

$$b(x) \cdot \nabla \varphi(x) + b(x^*) \cdot \nabla_* \varphi(x) = -2\gamma v \cdot \varphi_v \quad (12)$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_* \cdot (A\pi(x^*)) \cdot \nabla_* \varphi(x) &= (A\nabla_* \pi(x)) \cdot \nabla_* \varphi(x) \\ &= \left( \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma\sigma^T \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \pi_q \\ -\pi_v \end{bmatrix} \right) \cdot \begin{bmatrix} \varphi_q \\ -\varphi_v \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ -\sigma\sigma^T \pi_v \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} \varphi_q \\ -\varphi_v \end{bmatrix} \\ &= (\sigma\sigma^T \pi_v) \cdot \varphi_v \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

Combine (11)(12)(13) and the detailed balance becomes

$$-2\gamma\pi v \cdot \varphi_v = (\sigma\sigma^T \pi_v) \cdot \varphi_v \quad (14)$$

Since  $\varphi_v$  is arbitrary, (14) is just

$$-2\gamma\pi v = \sigma\sigma^T \frac{\partial \pi}{\partial v}$$

**Theorem 2.2** *If the detailed balance*

$$\pi(q, v) T_t((q, v), (q', v')) = \pi(q', -v') T_t((q', -v'), (q, -v))$$

*holds for some symmetric  $\pi(q, v) = \pi(q, -v)$ , then  $\pi(q, v)$  is the invariant distribution.*

Integrating the detailed balance in  $q \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , we obtain

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \pi(q, v) T_t((q, v), (q', v')) dq dv = \pi(q', -v') = \pi(q', v')$$

hence  $\pi(q, v)$  is the invariant distribution.

## 2.2 Applications in thermal equilibrium

Let  $U(q)$  be the potential function and assume the mass of the particle is 1. Consider the Langevin dynamics

$$\begin{aligned} dq &= v dt \\ dv &= -\nabla U(q) dt - \gamma v dt + \sqrt{\frac{2\gamma}{\beta}} dW \end{aligned}$$

and the invariant distribution is

$$\pi(q, v) = \exp \left( -\beta \left( \frac{|v|^2}{2} + U(q) \right) \right)$$

It's easy to verify

$$\sigma \sigma^T \pi_v = \frac{2\gamma}{\beta} \pi_v = -2\gamma \pi v$$

hence the Langevin dynamics of a classical system satisfies the detailed balance.

Now consider the pmmLang:

$$\begin{aligned} d\mathbf{q} &= \mathbf{v} dt \\ d\mathbf{v} &= -\mathbf{q} dt - (L^\alpha)^{-1} \nabla U^\alpha(\mathbf{q}) dt - \gamma \mathbf{v} dt + \sqrt{\frac{2\gamma(L^\alpha)^{-1}}{\beta_N}} d\mathbf{W} \end{aligned}$$

The invariant distribution is

$$\pi(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{v}) = \exp \left( -\beta_N \left( \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{v}^T L^\alpha \mathbf{v} + U(\mathbf{q}) \right) \right)$$

It's easy to verify the detailed balance condition.

### 3 Potential splitting method

#### 3.1 Methodology

Suppose we want to sample the Boltzmann distribution

$$\pi(q, v) = \exp \left( -\beta \left( \frac{|v|^2}{2} + U(q) \right) \right)$$

for some potential function  $U(q)$ . Decompose

$$U(q) = U_1(q) + U_2(q)$$

and consider the following update scheme in timestep  $\Delta t$ :

---

**Algorithm 1:** Potential splitting method

---

- Given the state  $(q, v)$ , evolve the following SDE

$$\begin{aligned} dq &= v dt \\ dv &= -\nabla U_1(q) dt - \gamma v dt + \sqrt{\frac{2\gamma}{\beta}} dW \end{aligned}$$

with initial value  $(q, v)$  in time  $\Delta t$  to obtain  $(q^*, v^*)$ .

- Set  $(q, v) = (q^*, v^*)$  with probability

$$a(q, q^*) = \min\{1, e^{-\beta(U_2(q^*) - U_2(q))}\}$$

otherwise  $(q, v) = (q, -v)$ .

---

**Theorem 3.1** Let  $T((q, v), (q', v'))$  be the transition probability of Algorithm 1, then the detailed balance holds:

$$\pi(q, v)T((q, v), (q', v')) = \pi(q', -v')T((q', -v'), (q, -v))$$

A direct consequence is that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \pi(q, v)T((q, v), (q', v')) dq dv = \pi(q', v')$$

i.e., the scheme preserves  $\pi(q, v)$ .

**Proof** Define the distributions

$$\pi_1(q, v) = \exp\left(-\beta\left(\frac{|v|^2}{2} + U_1(q)\right)\right), \quad \pi_2(q) = \exp(-\beta U_2(q))$$

Let  $T_1((q, v), (q', v'))$  be the transition probability of Step 1, and  $a(q, q')$  be the acceptance probability in Step 2, then

$$\pi_1(q, v)T_1((q, v), (q', v')) = \pi_1(q', -v')T_1((q', -v'), (q, -v)) \quad (1)$$

$$\pi_2(q)a(q, q') = \pi_2(q')a(q', q) \quad (2)$$

Notice that  $\pi(q, v) = \pi_1(q, v)\pi_2(q)$ , and the transition probability of Algorithm 1 is

$$T((q, v), (q', v')) = T_1((q, v), (q', v'))a(q, q') + \delta(q' - q)\delta(v' + v)(1 - A(q, v)) \quad (3)$$

where  $A(q, v)$  is the total acceptance probability

$$A(q, v) = \int T_1((q, v), (q', v')) a(q, q') dq' dv'$$

To verify the detailed balance, we need

$$\pi(q, v) T((q, v), (q', v')) = \pi(q', -v') T((q', -v'), (q, -v))$$

or

$$\pi(q, v) T_1((q, v), (q', v')) a(q, q') = \pi(q', -v') T_1((q', -v'), (q, -v)) a(q', q) \quad (4)$$

$$\pi(q, v) \delta(q' - q) \delta(v' + v) (1 - A(q, v)) = \pi(q', -v') \delta(q' - q) \delta(v' + v) (1 - A(q', -v')) \quad (5)$$

It's easy to see (4) is the product of (1)(2), and (5) holds from the Dirac function. In conclusion, the detailed balance holds.

### 3.2 Application in pmmLang

For pmmLang, assume the effective potential  $U(\mathbf{q})$  is decomposed into

$$U(\mathbf{q}) = U_1(\mathbf{q}) + U_2(\mathbf{q})$$

and define  $U_1^\alpha(\mathbf{q}) = U(\mathbf{q}) - \frac{\alpha}{2} |\mathbf{q}|^2$ . Similarly we have

**Algorithm 2:**

- Given the initial state  $(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{v})$ , evolve the following SDE in  $\Delta t$  time

$$d\mathbf{q} = \mathbf{v} dt$$

$$d\mathbf{v} = -\mathbf{q} dt - (L^\alpha)^{-1} \nabla U_1^\alpha(\mathbf{q}) dt - \gamma \mathbf{v} dt + \sqrt{\frac{2\gamma(L^\alpha)^{-1}}{\beta_N}} d\mathbf{W}$$

to obtain  $(\mathbf{q}^*, \mathbf{v}^*)$ .

- Set  $(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{v}) = (\mathbf{q}^*, \mathbf{v}^*)$  with probability

$$a(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{q}^*) = \min\{1, e^{-\beta(U_2(\mathbf{q}^*) - U_2(\mathbf{q}))}\}$$

otherwise  $(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{v}) = (\mathbf{q}, -\mathbf{v})$ .

**Theorem 3.2** *Let  $T((\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{v}), (\mathbf{q}', \mathbf{v}'))$  be the transition probability of the scheme, then the detailed balance holds:*

$$\pi(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{v}) T((\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{v}), (\mathbf{q}', \mathbf{v}')) = \pi(\mathbf{q}', -\mathbf{v}') T((\mathbf{q}', -\mathbf{v}'), (\mathbf{q}, -\mathbf{v}))$$

A direct consequence is that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2dN}} \pi(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{v}) T((\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{v}), (\mathbf{q}', \mathbf{v}')) d\mathbf{q} d\mathbf{v} = \pi(\mathbf{q}', \mathbf{v}')$$

i.e., the update scheme preserves  $\pi(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{v})$ .